Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,550	CHUBERRE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TOAN D. NGUYEN	2416
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) TOAN D. NGUYEN.	(3)	
(2) DIALLO T. CRENSHAW.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.		
Type: a) Z Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)[☑ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3,5-7,14 and 15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>M/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ⋈ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims 1.3.5-7.14 and 15</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a roply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS SIVEN A NOIN-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MOINT IN THIRTY DAYS PROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		